



JON M. HUNTSMAN, JR.  
Governor

GARY R. HERBERT  
Lieutenant Governor

# State of Utah

## DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

### Division of Oil, Gas & Mining

MICHAEL R. STYLER  
Executive Director

JOHN R. BAZA  
Division Director

### Inspection Report

#### Minerals Regulatory Program

February 3, 2006

Supervisor QRH

**Mine Name:** Si204 - 1-6 (Stansbury)  
**Operator Name:** McFarland and Hullinger

**Permit number:** M/045/046  
**Inspection Date:** July 15, 2005  
**Time:** 10:30 am

**Inspector(s):** Lynn Kunzler, DOGM  
**Other Participants:** none  
**Mine Status:** Active

Elements of Inspection	Evaluated	Comment	Enforcement
1. Permits, Revisions, Transfer, Bonds	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Public Safety (shafts, adits, trash, signs, highwalls)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Protection of Drainages / Erosion Control	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Deleterious Material	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Roads (maintenance, surfacing, dust control, safety)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Concurrent Reclamation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Backfilling/Grading (trenches, pits, roads,	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Soils	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Revegetation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

#### Purpose of Inspection:

This was a routine inspection while in the area.

#### Inspection Summary:

1. This site was active at the time of inspection. This site received final approval on October 30, 2000. Reclamation surety was calculated at 62,500. However, the company posted a \$100,000 surety to cover future expansion. The Division will need to verify that the \$100,000 is still adequate for the site. (note- surety requirements escalated to 2011 dollars was later determined to be \$74,100).
2. The highwall has been benched - public access to the benches have been blocked. Minor amounts of dust was being generated by the crusher/stackers. Vehicle access to the site is via a single gated road. The amount of disturbance has not changed significantly since the last inspection.

Photos were taken to document site conditions.

#### Conclusions and Recommendations:

1. Continue to monitor this site when in the area.

**Inspector's Signature**

LK:pb

O:\M045-Tooele\M0450046-SIO2\inspections\insp-07152005.doc

**Date:** February 3, 2006